



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/657,706	09/09/2003	Daniel R. Bitton	13469-002	8747	
1059	7590 02/02/2005		EXAMINER		
BERESKIN AND PARR			ROSENBAUM, MARK		
SCOTIA PLAZA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
40 KING STREET WEST-SUITE 4000 BOX 401 TORONTO, ON M5H 3Y2			3725		
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 02/02/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	<u>۲</u>	7		
Office Action Summers		10/657,7	06	BITTON ET AL.	V) [']		
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Mark Ro		3725				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply wreply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ex nication. days, a reply within the stautory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	vent, however, may a reply be tim tutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	I on .						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 21							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□	Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	e withdrawn from co						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any object	ion to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t The oath or declaration is objected to	•			• •			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen			∆ □	(DTO 442)				
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P er No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/10/03</u> .		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate)-152)			
• -								

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Japanese publication (Japan). This publication discloses the basic concept of shredding scrap aluminum articles into smaller fractions and shot blasting the fractions to produce a desired product for recycling purposes. Any remaining limitations would then have been obvious design choices by one skilled in the art for various reasons. For example, applicant's specification states on page 7 that the magnetic separation step may be dropped if the initial material is largely free of contaminants i.e. the use of a magnetic separation step would have been obvious if so needed. The remaining separation steps claimed i.e. claims 2,3,8,18,19,21,25,26 and 30 would also have been obvious if so needed. Also, collecting the cleaned parts for a particular manufacturing process i.e. claims 5,6,7,9,10,11,20,22,27,28,29,31,32,33 does not further limit the method of producing aluminum for recycling. Finally, the particular aluminum alloy treated would have been obvious based on several factors such as the material needed for recycling purposes.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Rosenbaum whose telephone number is 571-272-4523. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on 571-272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Rosenbaum Primary Examiner Art Unit 3725

MR